



## AMPJP AGM and Canonical stewards forum 26-27 Aug 2018

### Participant evaluation survey

The AMPJP Annual General Meeting (AGM) was held on the evening of Sunday 26<sup>th</sup> August 2018. This was open to all canonical stewards (trustees) of Australian ministerial PJPs. An invitation was also extended to two known groups who are in the process of developing a ministerial PJP or similar structure. The AGM followed by a set-down dinner. The following day all were invited to participate in a day of discussion facilitated by Sr Berneice Loch rsm and Ms Kerry Brettell. Some people were only able to attend the Sunday evening events and some only attended the Monday events. There were 36 participants on Sunday and 35 participants on Monday.

A nine-question evaluation survey was emailed to participants using SurveyMonkey on 28<sup>th</sup> August 2018. SurveyMonkey surveys are sent to an individual's email address. Some participants were not sent a survey as; two were facilitators; one person the AMPJP Executive Officer; one person did not supply their email address and six people used a shared email address. A total of 29 people was sent the survey with 17 (59%) responding.

The following are the results of the participant evaluation survey:

#### Q1. Prayer 1 - (Sunday evening AGM) focus on baptism as a call to ministry was relevant and engaging

<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
0.00%	0.00%	5.88%	11.76%	70.59%	11.76%		
0	0	1	2	12	2	17	<b>4.73</b>

#### **Comments:**

- I didn't attend Sunday evening
- I had many comments that it was a great liturgy - said that Lawrie had done it all
- I believe this focus could be more explicit. Perhaps the style of AGM could be used as a formation 'tool'
- Yes. The authority we take up as trustees of PJPs is a living out of our baptismal call to live the Gospel in service.
- Prayer was reflective. Good to see prayer was more than an agenda item.
- Excellent clarity and focus

## Q2. Session 1 Berniece Loch address and discussion was relevant and engaging

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11.76%	76.47%	11.76%	17	4.87
0	0	0	2	13	2		

### Comments:

- think that she was very much to the point
- Excellent material and good process
- A great and thoughtful presentation
- Challenging and set the tone for the forum
- Sorry! I was unable to be present on Monday.
- Excellent input and well timed with opportunity for group to reflect and unpack.

## Q3. Session 2 Discussion of member support from AMPJP and each other was relevant and engaging

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
0.00%	0.00%	5.88%	17.65%	64.71%	11.76%	17	4.67
0	0	1	3	11	2		

### Comments:

- Very relevant
- Excellent facilitation

## Q4. Prayer 2 (Midday Monday) We are the body of Christ liturgy was relevant and engaging

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	41.18%	47.06%	11.76%	17	4.53
0	0	0	7	8	2		

### Comments: (0)

## Q5. Session 3 Discussion of AMPJP relationships with others and setting priorities was relevant and engaging

<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	25.00%	50.00%	12.50%	16	4.43
0	0	2	4	8	2		

**Comments:**

- Many good ideas
- Unfortunately, I was not able to stay for the afternoon session

**Q6. Prayer 3 (Monday afternoon) Missioning liturgy was relevant and engaging**

<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	37.50%	12.50%	16	4.43
0	0	0	8	6	2		

**Comments:**

- As above

**Q7. The venue, facilities and catering met my needs**

<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	56.25%	37.50%	16	4.31
0	0	1	9	6		

**Comments:**

- Great idea to have at same venue as CHA conference
- Dinner on Sunday was a good opportunity to meet other members of the association in an informal way.

**Q8. The pre-conference communication, organisation and materials provided met my needs**

<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
11.11%	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	22.22%	9	3.56
1	0	3	3	2		

**Comments:**

- There is an error in the scale, my response is agree
- The labelling for this question is wrong i.e. 2xdisagree & 2xstrongly disagree. My view is "agree"
- I think that the agrees were missed here. I strongly agree
- they were all that was required
- Are you looking for a negative response?? More seriously, I think there is value in sending papers electronically to all participants before the meeting would enrich any discussion we may have at an AGM.
- Strongly AGREE
- Inaccurate response sheet - I agree to the statement
- I think means agree
- Agree
- I agree
- Actually agree
- I think you mean agree on RHS

## **Q9. Any other comments or suggestions for improvement regarding future forums**

### ***Responses:***

- Well done, a very useful forum
- The Wednesday CHA conference's session on the Plenary Council was very interesting. Possibly more time on this and what we, as a collective of PJPs, could contribute would be useful at the next gathering. Overall, I believe that the forum was very well executed.
- Thanks for your good work. The conference was enjoyable and energising.
- very happy with entire forum
- Sorry to have a clash that meant I could not be with you on Monday. Hopefully next time.....
- Thank you for the planning and organisation of the annual forum. It was a worthwhile experience. The AGM while a formal governance requirement could have been more engaging for members. A report from the Chair on the work of the Council seems an appropriate component of the agenda.
- I really appreciated the opportunity to be part of the forum and learn from experience of those who have led the way to PJPs
- Fantastic for networking and getting informal information
- Great gathering. Good to interact. Facilitation important. Well handled. Focussed outcomes